Interview Summary	Application N	Vo.	Applicant(s) SCHORN, GREG	
	10/699,369			
	Examiner	- 11	Art Unit	
	Hien D. Vu		2833	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Mr.Hien D. Vu.	(3)			
(2) Mr. Eugene Szczecina Jr.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 29 November 2004.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)□ applicant	's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,13 and 24</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Lawrence (145)</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)∐ was not re	eached. h)⊟ N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>discussed and agreed up</u> , <u>13 and 24 to more clearly set forth the intended concept to the intended conce</u>	on the change hereby to plac	es to clarify the ce the case in co	claimed invention ndition for allowa	of claims 1 nce.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the am			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse si	last Office ac THE MAILING OF THE SUB	tion has already S DATE OF THIS STANCE OF TH	been filed, APPI SINTERVIEW S	LICANT IS UMMARY
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	I	Examiner's signa	ature, if required	